## Exhibit M

Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                      SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 2
    LA UNION DEL PUEBLO
 3
    ENTERO, et al,
 4
                   Plaintiffs.
 5
                                   CIVIL ACTION
    VS.
                                  ) NO.: 5:21-cv-844-XR
 6
    GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et al,
 7
                   Defendants.
 8
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
10
11
                         JENNIFER MARTINEZ
                         APRIL 12, 2022
12
13
14
         ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JENNIFER
    MARTINEZ, produced as a witness at the instance of the
15
16
    DEFENDANTS, and duly sworn, was taken in the
17
    above-styled and numbered cause on April 12, 2022, from
18
    10:12 a.m. to 1:46 p.m. before Miah Parson, CSR in and
    for the State of Texas, reported by oral stenography, at
19
    the law offices of Reed Smith LLP, 401 Congress Avenue,
20
    Suite 1800, Austin, Texas 78701, pursuant to the Federal
21
    Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on
22
    the record or attached hereto.
23
24
25
```

Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022
Page 67

1 Otherwise, I'd prefer that you not instruct the witness 2 on how she should answer things. That's fine. 3 MS. LOPEZ: A. We -- we contend that the voter assistance 4 issue would impact Ms. Litzinger. 5 There is a chilling 6 effect on folks who are looking to support folks with 7 disabilities. If they are asked to sign a form that says that they are -- could be criminally prosecuted for 8 helping a person erroneously. There's also not the 9 I don't know 10 opportunity for her to be fully supported. what Ms. Litzinger needs, but it could be additional 11 support, cueing, navigating the poling place, 12 13 translation services. Any of those that the Arc of Texas is concerned that would be limited in how she is 14 15 supported and her assister would be likely to assist her in voting because of their concern about filling out a 16 17 form that could have criminal penalties. 18 Do you know whether Ms. Litzinger voted in 19 person in the March 22nd primary? I do not. 2.0 Α. 21 Do you know whether Ms. Litzinger voted at all 22 in the March 22 primary? 23 Α. I do not. 24 Do you know whether Ms. Litzinger has ever

voted in an election?

2.5

Jennifer Martinez April 12, 2022
Page 74

1 developmental disabilities?

- A. Without the appropriate supports that's a possibility.
- 4 Q. Let's move onto Section 6.05 which is at
- 5 | Page 53. What is the Arc of Texas' factual contention
- 6 | for how Ms. Litzinger is harmed by Section 6.05?
- 7 A. We believe that a barrier is placed in front of
- 8 anybody with a disability who is looking to vote when
- 9 there is a criminal penalty for filling out a form
- 10 | incorrectly that's a concern and is a barrier in our
- 11 minds.

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- Q. You understand that Section 6.05 applies to a person who assists not the person voting, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. So I guess what I'm trying to get at is, what is the factual contention about how this impacts the person with the disability?
  - A. The person with the disability could be impacted by an assister because they are concerned about a criminal penalty. Not receiving the appropriate supports and assistance that they need to successfully vote.
- Q. Does Arc of Texas have any examples that you can give me today of an assister who has declined to provide assistance on account of criminal penalties in